IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No. 16620 of 2003

Between:

T. Namdev,S/o. T. Naga Rao
Pulimadugu, in P.A.C.C.S. R/o. Indravalli,
Adilabad Dist. ..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Govt. of A.P. rep by Principal Secretary to Govt.
Agricultural & Cooperative V Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner for Cooperation & Registrar of Co-operative

Societies, A.P. Hyderabad.
3 The General Manager, Hyderabad Dist. Cooperative Central Bank Ltd.
Adilabad, Adilabad district.
4 The Branch Manager, Adilabad Dist. Cooperative Central Bank Ltd.,

Indravalli branch, Adilabad district.
5 The Manager/PIC Pulimadugu, Utnoor Mandal, Adilabad Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to pass order or direction more particularly writ in the nature of Mandamus or appropriate writ, declaring the impugned orders of termination passed by the Respondent No.5, vide Proceedings No.Nil, dated 3-6-2003 as illegal, null and void, direct the Respondents as the Petitioner is in continuous in service by allowing the writ with costs, in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr. K.BUCHI BABU
Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2: G.P. FOR AGR. & COOPERATION
Counsel for the Respondents 3 to 5: P. Nageswar Sree

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

-

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 27.07.2004, none appeared in the matter and the matter was directed to be posted
'for dismissal' on 06.08.2004. Even today also when the matter is called, none appears and there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

As can be seen from the impugned order, it discloses that since the appointment of the petitioner was made in the year 1995 during the prohibitory period, contrary to the provisions of Act 2 of 1994 and the circulars of the Government, the services of the petitioner were terminated by the impugned order dated 3.6.2003.

In that view of the matter, I see no reason to interfere with the impugned order passed by the respondents in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

06th August, 2004

Nsr/Da

To

1 The Principal Secretary to Govt. of A.P., Agriculture & Cooperation

Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner for Cooperation & Registrar of Co-operative

Societies, A.P. Hyderabad.

3 The General Manager, Hyderabad Dist. Cooperative Central Bank Ltd. Adilabad, Adilabad district.

4 The Branch Manager, Adilabad Dist. Cooperative Central Bank Ltd.,

Indravalli branch, Adilabad district.

5 The Manager/PIC Pulimadugu, Utnoor Mandal, Adilabad Dist.

6 Two CCs to the G.P. for Cooperation, High Court, Hyderabad.

7 Two CD copies.